



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,113	12/15/2003	Woei Ling Leow	P03,0495 (H0005960 US)	3645

7590 06/17/2008
HONEY WELL INTERNATIONAL INC.
Law Dept. AB2
P.O. Box 2245
Morristown, NJ 07962-9806

EXAMINER

NEGRON, WANDA M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2622

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/736,113	Applicant(s) LEOW ET AL.	
	Examiner WANDA M. NEGRON	Art Unit 2622	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) WANDA M. NEGRON. (3) DAVID D'ZURILLA.
 (2) DAVID OMETZ (SPE AU2622). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 11 June 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: independent claims 1, 13 and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Fiore et al. (WO 02/082275); Ogawa et al. (US Patent No. 5,857,044).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner provided clarification with respect to her interpretation of timestamp and image count comparison as it relates to Ogawa et al. However, an agreement was not reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Wanda M. Negrón/
 Patent Examiner AU 2622
 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.